


3/✓

Scank -

Shanks -

Two observations:

1 - I think the notice has already been published. <sup>NO</sup> 

2 - maybe the answer <sup>release</sup> is for field employees to take deduction without forgetting it, and then Receipt in True Name could be issued if IRS questions it.

J.D.

25X1A9a



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~has~~ There has been no experience yet in field contributions to the Educational Fund. Our experience to date with field contributions to PSAS is that perhaps 95% of all contributions have been in very small amount, i.e. \$1-\$5 and these have been in cash.

Contributions to the Educational Fund will, likewise, be almost wholly in the same amounts. This would appear to present little or no problem to field employees providing they would be willing to forego taking the tax deduction. They would have a problem if they insisted on taking the deduction because they would, under the arrangement in the Notice, have no proof of their contribution, i.e., the PSAS and the EAF is not shown on their checks. Neither would proof be in the receipt they would get since it would show "from pseudo" and would be signed in pseudo.

A receipt in true names for contributions, say for \$25 or more, would represent about 1% of all contribution cases.

25X1A9a

 had previously talked  about this and, unless DDP is agreeable to the true name receipt procedure, there just isn't any answer

25X1A9a

but that individual contributors freeze take, the  
tax deductions — or — take the deductions and  
hope they will not have to produce proof of contributions.

25X1A9a

Talked to [REDACTED] — he did not concur on  
the H.N. If he had seen it, he says, he would  
have contested the para 4 bit — re checks payable  
to pseudo., because it only greatly increases the  
problem they now have of voluminous quantities  
of checks coming in from field. In this case, checks  
for from \$1 to, perhaps, \$10 could break their backs.

25X1A9a

He talked to [REDACTED] about the H.N. and  
they are going to insist it does not go out  
as is. On the re-write — the question of  
tax deduction will have to be faced and worked  
out — or else the notice should not go to field.

Will continue to follow and let you know if  
H.N. recalled.

25X1A9a

2/27

2/24/67

Frank

Alan Warfield  
points out that by  
making checks payable to  
fictitious names (see Para 4a)  
we may be affecting the  
Tax status of these  
contributions. Please check  
with [REDACTED]

25X1A9a

If so, we may have  
to make arrangements for  
receipts to be issued to  
donors — at least for  
those where the contribution  
is more than a certain amount.  
(such as \$25-). [REDACTED]

25X1A9a